MM9347

K Matthews 543



Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

OCCIPION CHIEF.

MAY 2 1 1996

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

OCT 1 1 1996

1800D2 KM-543 CN-9202190

Mr. Norman R. Grote 1835 Open Range Road Crossville, TN 38555 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Mr. Grote:

President Clinton has asked that I respond to your letter regarding improving television programming. Please be assured that the President shares your strong concern about the quality of television programming, and has taken a number of steps to act on this concern. In particular, he has strongly urged the television industry to improve program content, and especially to curb violence and indecency in programs directed to or viewed by children. In addition, the President recently signed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 which, among other provisions, permits the FCC to prescribe rules that require that televisions be equipped with devices (termed the "V-chip") enabling parents to block programs with certain ratings. This legislation also requires the Federal Communications Commission to develop guidelines for rating violent and indecent programming if the television industry has not developed a voluntary ratings system by February 8, 1997. After a meeting convened at the White House earlier this year, television industry leaders announced they had reached a general agreement to implement a ratings system by early next year.

You indicate that you do not believe the "V-chip" is a solution to the problem of inappropriate programming, instead preferring to give the American people the ability to control the programming that is produced. The V-chip would be implemented in conjunction with a program ratings system (or systems), which the President would prefer be developed by the private sector rather than the government. The President does not consider this by itself a panacea, but he does believe it will provide significant assistance to parents to monitor the programs their children watch, while avoiding the First Amendment concerns raised by some government attempts to control program content.

No. of Copies roold______ Use A S C B E Mr. Norman R. Grote 2.

In addition to calling on the television industry to improve programming and empowering parents to curb the exposure of children to television violence and indecency, President Clinton, together with FCC Chairman Reed Hundt, has voiced strong support for increasing the quality and quantity of educational and informational television programming for children. The FCC has proposed to strengthen its rules governing educational children's programming. These measures, if implemented, would also contribute toward improving the overall quality of television programming.

Sincerely,

Roy J. Stewart

Chief, Mass Media Bureau

km:pp:leg:prd:mmb typed: 05/21/96

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

MMB MM MM ADRI 12,1996 2190

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

SUE J. SMITH OF AGENCY LIAISON

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL OF CASEWORK IN BULK

An unprecedented number of individuals still write the President and the First Lady for help. I know that this has meant a far greater volume of mail for your agency than ever before. I appreciate your continuing cooperation in our efforts to be as responsive as possible.

The attached letters have not received a White House Staff response. I am forwarding this correspondence to your agency for any appropriate action.

Please return the original incoming letter, along with a copy of any written or telephone response, to me at the address below. I also would appreciate your sending a copy of your agency's log of the names and addresses of these individuals. Any misreferrals should be returned to my office. If you have questions you can reach me at 456-7486.

Sue J. Smith Director, Office of Agency Liaison Room 6, OEOB The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Again, thank you for your continuing help.

dear Prisident Clinton El know you have many prosecue, publims but may I ask you to con sider a problem that we your people have I know a chip has been considered to be installed in T.V. to allow had shows on T.V. That is not the solution. When ST. V. started in the late 40's all shows mere designed to offer clean whalesome entertainment and lenguinfle programs for the sutire family. The mext 50 years have mely prond have runed T.V. money brungry pernests from the metropolitan areas Produce parmo, vilcence, munder, Police 4 sex garbige and farce

Since most of us hand . o My one accept the garbage produced of do without. We have no say as to control of programs. Why can I T.V. he Consored of controlled? Is there no dinn to the above of the freedom Øspeech prevalenge? The present "nedsen" ralings have long heen altiquated & pronen uselas. The only true method is put it to the people. Il commission that would take prelis by much of 10% of each State papulation energy year for 10 years So everyone has a say. In the 10 Jean period year ley year Olean out What me don'T like, or want. b with controls

Me would have a ToV Nystem Well cleaned of sun hetter and problem. He disgusting T.V. tack strongs (28 alone in our mea) that should be flushed die son the commode. Dliky not put it as a referendum on the 96 election. Should the people have control other T.V. pur Welians of a few permeits as it is Now Stop mily of all questionable show 2) why mid put a federal lattery on the referendum to pay the defield Franc Sioc. Dec. 4 Midicare alone. Since the republican parts can T come up with any really comp elition on to approxima you. I do nut think you will have any

Who wails a fineapple in the 11th house? motime! Boelinishes to you & Hillery & January - God one with you Devely ifones.
(m) Mulmin R Strate a) have milten to 700; (3) state Lenetow, O Congressman, a T.V. (maliand felte, ment a ganization, 17 of, V. Station to date only 8 T. y. Atalians francausmi, my setters one one else. I guess ner one cases. la june?

